

## UNITED STATES SEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NA	MED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/826,354	04/05/01	GIBBONS	8	D	2455-4340US6
-			. 7		EXAMINER
	alkipmen Akt i i	WM02/100	3	TO,D	
MORGAN & FI1 345 PARK AVE		a I <sup>m.</sup> n		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK NY	10154			2682	5
				DATE MAILED	=
					10/03/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 



## Cffice Action Summary

Application No. 09/826,354

Applicant(s)

Examiner

D. To

Art Unit

2682

Gibbons et al

l					ı	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -- Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>three</u> MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

- If the be - If NO co - Failur - Any i	considered timely. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory mmunication. to to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by	cation. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this y statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). e mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any				
Status	Popposition to communication/s) filed as Apr 47	2004				
		2001				
	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) \( \overline{\text{\sqrt{N}}} \) This action is non-final.					
3) ⊔	Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex pa</i>	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is arte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.				
-	tion of Claims					
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1 and 26-31</u>	is/are pending in the application.				
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.				
6) 💢	Claim(s) 1 and 26-31	is/are rejected.				
7) 🗆		is/are objected to.				
8) 🗆	_					
10)□ 11)□ -	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  The drawing(s) filed on is/are The proposed drawing correction filed on The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved.				
13)□ a)□	under 35 U.S.C. § 119  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign polymer.  All b) Some* c) None of:  Certified copies of the priority documents have					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
*Se	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority de application from the International Bure the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	ocuments have been received in this National Stage rau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). re certified copies not received.				
14)∟	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).				
Attachme	ent(s)					
15) X No	tice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).				
	8) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
17) [X] Inf	ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	20)  Other:				

Art Unit: 2745

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Claim Objections

1. The numbering of claims is not accordance with 37 CFR 1.126 which requires the original numbering of the claims to be preserved throughout the prosecution. When claims are canceled, the remaining claims must not be renumbered. When new claims are presented, they must be renumbered consecutively beginning with the number next following the highest numbered claims previously presented (whether entered or not).

Misnumbered claims 2-7 have been renumbered as claims 26-31.

#### **Double Patenting**

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321© may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a non-statutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1 and 26-31 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 5,987,338. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the

J. . 2

Serial Number: 09/826,354 Page 3

Art Unit: 2745

claims in this instant application require that the delay interval is established by a value at the periodic reference instant. Since both the instant application and US Patent No. 5,987,338 describe the delay interval to follow the periodic reference instant, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify claim 1 of US Patent No. 5,987,338 by establishing the delay interval to be a value at the periodic reference instant since the delay interval follows the periodic reference instant.

4. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Obright [US 5,752,202) in view of Kallin [US 5,745,860].

Regarding claim 1, Obright discloses a wireless communication system having a base station 101 which communicates with a remote unit 120 that is in a sleep mode (see Fig. 3 wherein the remote unit goes to standby mode during a ping segment of an inactive window 320 to communicate with the base station). The remote unit operates in either standby mode or sleep mode and inherently has a unique identification value. In this case, "a periodic reference instant" as claimed reads on the end of a non-root window 320 as shown in Fig. 3; "a delay interval" as claimed reads on the interval from the end of the non-root window 320 to a ping segment in the next non-root window 320, see Col. 4-7. Since the remote unit switches from the sleep mode to the standby mode during the ping segment in an inactive window 320 in order to receive message from the base station, it is clear that Obright discloses all the claimed limitations, except for deriving the above delay interval from the unique identification value of the remote unit as claimed. The examiner, however, believes that the above difference would not involved any

Serial Number: 09/826,354 Page 4

Art Unit: 2745

inventive concept because of the following reasons: First, Obright does suggest that each remote unit is assigned a specific delay interval (see Col. 4, lines 54-57 which discloses that the non-root windows having ping segments are scheduled during registration with the base station). Second, deriving a delay interval from a unique identification value of a remote unit is known in the art as described by Kallin (see Col. 7, lines 8-17). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the above teaching of Kallin to Obright, in order to have a simple way of assigning a delay interval to a remote unit.

#### Conclusion

5. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

#### or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314, (for formal communications intended for entry; and for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to D. To whose telephone number is (703) 305-4827.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ms. Chang, can be reached on (703) 308-6739.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4750.

DORISH.TO 9/28/07

# Attachment for PTO-948 (Rev. 03/01, or earlier) 6/18/01

The below text replaces the pre-printed text under the heading, "Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes," on the back of the PTO-948 (Rev. 03/01, or earlier) form.

## INFORMATION ON HOW TO EFFECT DRAWING CHANGES

### 1. Correction of Informalities -- 37 CFR 1.85

New corrected drawings must be filed with the changes incorporated therein Identifying indicia, if provided, should include the title of the invention, inventor's name, and application number, or docket number (if any) if an application number has not been assigned to the application. If this information is provided, it must be placed on the front of each sheet and centered within the top margin. If corrected drawings are required in a Notice of Allowability (PTOL-37), the new drawings MUST be filed within the THREE MONTH shortened statutory period set for reply in the Notice of Allowability. Extensions of time may NOT be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a) or (b) for filing the corrected drawings after the mailing of a Notice of Allowability. The drawings should be filed as a separate paper with a transmittal letter addressed to the Official Draftsperson.

## 2. Corrections other than Informalities Noted by Draftsperson on form PTO-948.

All changes to the drawings, other than informalities noted by the Draftsperson, MUST be made in the same manner as above except that, normally, a highlighted (preferably red ink) sketch of the changes to be incorporated into the new drawings MUST be approved by the examiner before the application will be allowed. No changes will be permitted to be made, other than correction of informalities, unless the examiner has approved the proposed changes.

### Timing of Corrections

Applicant is required to submit the drawing corrections within the time period set in the attached Office communication. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Failure to take corrective action within the set period will result in ABANDONMENT of the application.